Estimation of a density living near a manifold

Clément Berenfeld (Dauphine), Paul Rosa (Oxford), Judith Rousseau September 21, 2022

- 1. Motivation : low dimensional latent structure
- 2. Manifold driven anisotropic densities
- 3. Prior
- 4. theory
- 5. Simulations

Motivation : low dimensional latent structure

low dimensional latent structures in many examples

• the data lie near an unknown submanifold of \mathbb{R}^D .



low dimensional latent structures in many examples

• the data lie near an unknown submanifold of \mathbb{R}^D .



low dimensional latent structures in many examples

• the data lie near an unknown submanifold of \mathbb{R}^D .



Motivation

This is a relevant hypothesis when:

• There is a physical, underlying submanifold;



Cyclooctane (C_8H_{16}) conformations [martin2010topology]

Motivation

This is a relevant hypothesis when:

- There is a physical, underlying submanifold;
- The data is high-dimensional, but a few implicit parameters are suspected to drive the dataset.



Cat images from the COIL-20 dataset [?].

Motivation

This is a relevant hypothesis when:

- There is a physical, underlying submanifold;
- The data is high-dimensional, but a few implicit parameters are suspected to drive the dataset.



Low-dimensional representation of the COIL-20 dataset with UMAP [mcinnes2018umap].

Motivation : low dimensional (latent) structure- Toy example

• high dimensional datasets $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$: underlying low dimensional structure



Aim : estimate the density of X_i

- Lots of methods to do dimension reduction
 - Linear : data belongs to a low dimensional subspace
 - Non linear :
 - data belongs to a low dimensional manifold (Ozakin et al. 09, Berenfeld et al. 21, Divol 21, Tang 22)
 - latent low dimensional manifold : e.g. $X_i = Y_i + E_i$, $Y_i \in M$

Manifold driven anisotropic densities

Mathematical formalisation

• Data $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^D \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f$ but

 $X_i \in M^{\delta} = \{x, d(x, M) \leq \delta\}, \quad M = d - \text{dim. manifold}, \quad d < D$

- M is unknown, δ is small
- *M* has reach $\geq \tau > 0$ and $\delta < \tau$.



Mathematical formalisation

• Data $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^D \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f$ but

 $X_i \in M^{\delta} = \{x, d(x, M) \le \delta\}, \quad M = d - \text{dim. manifold}, \quad d < D$

- M is unknown, δ is small
- *M* has reach $\geq \tau > 0$ and $\delta < \tau$.



Mathematical formalisation II: smoothness definition

 local parametrization : $\bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{x}_0}: (\mathbf{v}, \eta) \in T_{\mathbf{x}_0} M \times N_{\mathbf{x}_0} M \to \underbrace{\Psi_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\mathbf{v})}_{\in M} + \underbrace{N_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\mathbf{v}, \eta)}_{\in N_{\Psi(\mathbf{x}_0)(\mathbf{v})} M}, N_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\mathbf{v}, \delta\eta) = \delta N_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\mathbf{v}, \eta)$ $N_{x_0}M$

 $\bar{f}_{x_0,\delta} : \underbrace{\mathcal{B}(0,\tau)}_{\subset \mathcal{T}_{x_0}\mathcal{M}} \times \underbrace{\mathcal{B}(0,1)}_{\subset \mathcal{N}_{x_0}\mathcal{M}} \to \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \bar{f}_{x_0,\delta}(v,\eta) = f(\underbrace{\bar{\Psi}_{x_0}(v,\delta\eta)}_{\in \mathcal{M}^\delta})$ $\bar{f}_{x_0,\delta} \in \mathcal{H}(\underline{\beta},L), \quad \underline{\beta} = (\underbrace{\beta_0,\cdots,\beta_0}_{d},\underbrace{\beta_{\perp},\cdots,\beta_{\perp}}_{D-d})$

 $X_i = Y_i + \delta \epsilon_i, \quad Y_i \sim f_* \text{ on } M, \quad \epsilon_i \in B(0,1), \quad f_* \in \mathcal{H}_M(\beta_0, L)$

M is $\beta_M + 1$ Hölder : $\Psi_{x_0} \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_M, C)$.

- Orthogonal noise (eg Wasserman et al. , Nigoyi et al.) : $\epsilon_i | Y_i \sim K(\epsilon) \mu_{N_{Y_i}M}(d\epsilon) \ K \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_{\perp}, C)$
- Isotropic noise (eg Wu& Dunson) : $\epsilon_i \sim K(\epsilon)$ ind of Y_i , $K \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_{\perp}, C)$; $\beta_0 \leq \beta_{\perp}$.

 $X_i = Y_i + \delta \epsilon_i, \quad Y_i \sim f_* \text{ on } M, \quad \epsilon_i \in B(0,1), \quad f_* \in \mathcal{H}_M(\beta_0, L)$

M is $\beta_M + 1$ Hölder : $\Psi_{x_0} \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_M, C)$.

- Orthogonal noise (eg Wasserman et al. , Nigoyi et al.) : $\epsilon_i | Y_i \sim K(\epsilon) \mu_{N_{Y_i}M}(d\epsilon) \ K \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_{\perp}, C)$
- Isotropic noise (eg Wu& Dunson) : $\epsilon_i \sim K(\epsilon)$ ind of Y_i , $K \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_{\perp}, C)$; $\beta_0 \leq \beta_{\perp}$.

$$\beta_M - 1 \ge \beta_\perp$$

Prior

Prior on f: Location scale Gaussian mixtures

$$f_P(x) = \int \varphi_{\Sigma}(x-\mu) dP(\mu, \Sigma)$$

- Choice of P: Crucial
 - if P ~ DP(A, G₀), G₀ = N × IW + standard density on ℝ^D → suboptimal rates (De Blasi et al. , Naulet & R) If density near manifold empirically bad behaviour (Mukhopadhyay& Dunson)
 - If Location mixture : P(dμ, dΣ) = δ_(Σ)P₁(dμ) : Good rates for homogeneous smoothness but not adapted here
 - Hybrid location scale mixture: Good rates for homogeneous smoothness and flexible (Ghosal& VdV 07, Naulet & R)

2 types of NP mixtures

• Partial location - scale mixtures $\Sigma = O^T \Lambda O$, $\Lambda = diag(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_D)$.

$$f_{P,\Lambda}(x) = \int \varphi_{O^{T}\Lambda O}(x-\mu) dP(\mu, O), \quad P = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \delta_{\mu_k, O_k} K \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$$

$$\lambda_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} G_1$$

• Hybrid location scale mixture $P = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \delta_{\mu_k, O_k, \Lambda_k}$

$$\begin{split} f_P(x) &= \int \varphi_{O^T \wedge O}(x-\mu) dP(\mu, O, \Lambda) \\ [P(d\mu, dO, d\Lambda)|Q] &\sim DP(A_1 G_0(d\mu, dO) \times Q^{\otimes D}(d\lambda)), \\ Q &\sim DP(A_2 G_1(d\Lambda)) \end{split}$$

theory

Theoretical results: posterior contraction rates

$$X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f^o$$
 true density

- For the 2 types of Mixtures with G_1 : $\sqrt{\lambda_i} \sim IG(b_1, b_2)$
- if for all $x_0 \in M$ $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}(\underline{\beta}, L)$ +

$$f_0(x) \lesssim e^{-c ||x||^{ au}}, \quad \int \left(rac{L(ar{\Psi}_{\mathsf{x}_0,\delta}(v,\eta))}{f^o_{\mathsf{x}_0,\delta}}
ight)^m f^o_{\mathsf{x}_0,\delta}(v,\eta) dv d\eta < \infty$$

Then

$$\Pi (\|f_0 - f_P\|_1 > M \epsilon_n (\log n)^q | X^n) = o_{P_0}(1)$$

with

$$\epsilon_n \lesssim n^{-\frac{\beta_0}{2\beta_0 + d + (D - d)\beta_0 / \beta_\perp}} \vee \left(\frac{\delta^{-\frac{d + (D - d)\beta_0 / \beta_\perp}{1 - \beta_0 / \beta_\perp}}}{n}\right)$$

• Case
$$\beta_{\perp} = +\infty$$
: $\epsilon_n \lesssim n^{-\frac{\beta_0}{2\beta_0+d}} \vee \frac{1}{n\delta^d}$ 12

- We recover the same rates as for *linear* anisotropy (homogeneous)
- Adaptive estimation
- Location scale mixtures : best to use the hybrid version

• Key step find P_0 st $KL(F_o, f_{P_0}) \lesssim \epsilon_n^2$:

$$K_{\Sigma}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \varphi_{\Sigma(\mu)}(x-\mu)f(\mu)d\mu, \quad \Sigma(\mu) = O_{\mu}^{T} \wedge O_{\mu}$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{\alpha_0} Id_d & 0\\ 0 & \delta \sigma^{\alpha_\perp} Id_{D-d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_\perp = \frac{\beta_\perp}{\beta}, \quad \alpha_0 = \frac{\beta_0}{\beta}$$

 ${\it O}_{\mu}$ matrix of $z
ightarrow ({\it Pr}_{T_{\mu}}z,{\it Pr}_{N_{\mu}}z)$ Then $\sigma
ightarrow 0$

 $K_{\Sigma}f^{o} = f^{o} + o(1), \text{ and } \exists f_{1}: K_{\Sigma}f_{1} = f^{o} + O(\sigma^{\beta})$

Simulations

• Partially location scale mixture

$$f_{P,\Lambda}(x) = \int_{\mu,O} \varphi_{O^T \Lambda O}(x-\mu) dP(\mu,O)$$

- $P \sim DP(A\mathcal{N}(\cdot|\mu_0, \Sigma_0) \otimes p_{ML})$
- $\lambda_j \stackrel{ind}{\sim} IG(1, b_j)$

• Partially location scale mixture

$$f_{P,\Lambda}(x) = \int_{\mu,O} \varphi_{O^T \Lambda O}(x-\mu) dP(\mu,O)$$

•
$$P \sim DP(A\mathcal{N}(\cdot|\mu_0, \Sigma_0) \otimes p_{ML})$$

•
$$\lambda_j \stackrel{ind}{\sim} IG(1, b_j)$$

• Fixed $b_1 = \cdots = b_D$ or Hierarchical $b_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Exp(1)$

Parabole



spirale



Simulations



Simulations



- Scalable algorithm in dim D large
- Implementation of the hybrid
- Is the rate optimal if δ very small ?

Thank you



Established by the European Commission